70	/(	0 1	8	6	4	2
----	----	-----	---	---	---	---

2	TITLE:	APPARATUS	AND	METHOD	FOR	VAL	IDATING	WIRING
3		DIAGRAMS	AND	CREATI	NG W	IRE	LISTS	

4 INVENTOR: HENRIK YOUVAL KRIGEL

5 6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

#### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

7 1. Field of The Invention

The present invention relates to electronic test quipment used to test wires and wiring harnesses.

10 2. Description of the Related Art

There are few effective systems for validating the integrity and accuracy of a wiring harness based upon an existing wiring diagram or wiring list. Most such systems currently in use are both extremely expensive and complex. Systems capable of verifying continuity in a wiring harness are generally designed to be utilized by at least two technicians positioned at either end of the wiring harness under investigation.

18 19 20

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the present invention to provide a novel piece of equipment and method to validate the accuracy of wiring diagram manuals.

It is another object of the present invention to create wire lists.

It is another object of the present invention to provide improved and automatic wiring continuity checks.

It is another object of the present invention to 29 allow a single user to validate accuracy and create wire 30 lists.

It is another object of the present invention to test modifications and new installations.

It is another object of the present invention to provide improved and automatic wiring insulation checks.

1 It is another object of the present invention to 2 provide a system for improved and automatic wiring 3 continuity checks and generating a wiring diagram 4 reflecting the same.

5 In satisfaction of these and related objectives, the 6 present invention provides a portable and easy to use 7 tester for validating the accuracy of wiring diagram 8 manuals and for modifications testing and 9 installations for proper wiring. The invention also 10 provides an easy way to create a wire list describing all 11 the interconnections between attached connectors. The 12 tester can also be used as a troubleshooting tool without 13 having a previously learned cable reference. invention further tests wiring insulation in a wiring 15 harness and identifies poor wire to wire and wire to 16 ground insulation. Finally the present invention provides a system for generating a wiring diagram based 17 18 upon the results of a wiring validation series of 19 checks/tests.

20 21

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Fig. 1 is a flow chart of the wiring validation process of the preferred embodiment.

24 Fig. 2 is a flow chart of the wire list generation 25 process of the preferred embodiment.

Fig. 3 is a diagram of the electronic circuitry of the preferred embodiment.

Fig. 4 is a diagram of the electronic circuitry of the basic sensor of the preferred embodiment.

Fig. 5 is an example of the wire list attributes used in the preferred example.

32 Fig. 6 is a schematic block diagram showing the 33 arrangement and function of the various hardware 34 components of the present invention.

15

16

19

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

30

31

32

33

34

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT 1 The present invention provides an easy to use 2 Windows based software to validate the accuracy of wiring 3 manuals and wiring diagram drawings. 4 diagram invention will of the system of the 5 equipment create wire lists, automatically learn 6 automatically and modifications new 7 wiring configurations, test installations, and automatically creates reports in MS 8 Access 97 format. In addition, the system will sense 9 wiring insulation integrity and may interface with 10 computer aided drawing software to generate accurate 11 wiring diagrams. The reports generated will show total 12 13

cables tested, failed, percentage and creates a summary final acceptance form. The equipment will save files to a computer hard drive and/or floppy disk, read files from computer hard drive, CD-ROM or floppy disk, and import

wire list data in MS Access® 97 to be used as a baseline. 17

18 As a result, the invention improves and automates wiring continuity checks, allows for use by a single technician,

and the open architecture design allows system expansion. 20 21

The basic operation of the system involves the of a known good wiring harness, thereby "learning" establishing a baseline reference, and thereafter testing the wiring harness against this reference. The system, however, may also be used as a trouble shooting tool without having previously learned cable as a reference. The user can compare the wire list generated by the system and very quickly compare it with the "proper" wire

list to identify deviations from the norm. 29

The primary validation is that of proper connections though additional wiring harness characteristics can be The properties of easily acquired by the present system. the insulation associated with the wiring harness are discernable by varying the interrogating voltage between

1 the wires under test and between any wire and the system

- 2 ground. The resistivity of the wires within the wiring
- 3 harness is also discernable with the same basic
- 4 functional electronic interrogating circuits.
- 5 In addition to written reports in the form of wire
- 6 lists and tables of wiring harness characteristics, the
- 7 present invention incorporates software features that
- 8 permit the generation of wiring diagrams based on the
- 9 stored results of the testing operations carried out.
- 10 The goal of the system is to report on the condition
- 11 of the wiring harness under test. The system generates a
- 12 number of reports useful to the operator, including:
- 13 Pass/Fail Report that identifies the number of
- 14 wires tested, the number of wires that passed, the number
- 15 of wires that failed, and the percentage of cables that
- 16 passed.

26

- 17 Failure Report that responds to queries from the
- 18 user to identify more specifically the failed elements
- 19 and their failure parameters.
- Wire List Report that may be generated at any point
- 21 in the process and/or in response to the user selecting a
- 22 specific part for investigation.
- 23 Summary Report that provides in summary form the
- 24 results of all or a select group of tests carried out
- 25 over a period of time.

# THE CIRCUITRY

- 27 The connectors of the wiring harness under test are
- 28 connected to the system connectors. The hardware
- 29 provides via a switching circuitry a small voltage,
- 30 typically 1 volt, as stimulus. It then senses the current
- 31 through the wire and determines if there is a connection.
- 32 A variable and software controlled reference voltage is
- 33 used to determine the sensitivity of the test and may be

9

10 11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

27

28

29

30

31

WO 01/82209 PCT/US01/12818

1 used to find the resistance of the wire. The results for 2 each wire are then stored in the computer memory.

Software algorithms create a wire list, which is the map or the diagram of the harness. If the wire list was created from a known good harness, it may be used as a base line reference for testing other wire harnesses from the same type.

### WIRING VALIDATION

The main feature of the system of the present invention is its ability to validate the accuracy of wiring diagram manuals. Wiring diagrams of legacy aircraft do not always reflect the actual wiring installed. This may cause difficulties in maintaining and troubleshooting the aircraft. Validating the wiring diagrams using Ohm-meters, requires two technicians, is time consuming and expensive.

Typically, the wiring diagram in a manual is stored in database format and the fields of the database include the part number of the harness, the wire identification, the length, name of start plugs, end plugs, references and more.

The system software can import the original database file i.e. an MS Access 97 database file, and goes through the following process:

- 25 1. Copies the original database to a temporary file.
  - Sort it by connector name with the highest pincount pins within the connectors will be sorted in ascending order.
    - Assign original pin identifications within the connector to system pin identifications.
- 32 Example: 757DM9240 Terminal 10 is assigned to 33 system DM9240 pin 43. Note: If the original pin

3

4

5

6

13

14

15 16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

(terminal) is a character (as aa, b, z) it will
translated to a numerical value.

- Assign modules to above referenced connectors.
   If more then 32 pins are being examined, combine modules.
- Create original to system interface diagram.
- 6. Based on 'ConnlKtestPin7' and 'Conn2KtestPin"
  (see item 4) it creates a Ktest LEARN format
  records and save to Ktest random access tile.
- 7. Run test.
- 11 8. Create wire-list database in same format as 12 original. This one will contain actual wire-list.
  - 9. If test fails, it will show the difference between original wire-list and the actual wire-list.

By taking the above steps, the system of the present invention imports the original database with all its fields, (which could also include fields like wire color, gauge, dates and so on).

After the system testing operation, the system will export the same database file structure with all its original fields but with the indication that the wiring was either validated or will show the differences between the imported database and the actual results. Upon request, a corrected database file can be automatically created.

272829

## WIRE LIST PROCESS

Reference is made to Fig. 2 for a brief description 31 of the method for creating a wire list through operation 32 of the system.

33

- 1 1. The controller sends a command to the Driver/Sensor
- 2 cards to select two wires.
- 3 2. The controller gets a voltage level from the
- 4 Driver/Sensor card which corresponds to the status of
- 5 the 2 wires (short or open).
- 6 3. The system measures the value of Item 2 above,
- 7 compares it to a set reference and determines the
- 8 status (short/open).
- 9 4. The system stores the status result of the selected
- 10 two wires in a database and proceeds with the next set
- 11 of wires.
- 12 5. Upon completion selecting and testing all wires
- 13 connected to the system, the
- 14 6. software sorts its database and creates a list of all
- 15 the wires which were found to be connected to each
- 16 other.

VALIDATION PROCESS

- 19 Reference is made to Fig. 1 for a general
- 20 description of the validation process of the present
- 21 invention.

22

- 23 1. A database file containing wiring data is imported
- into the system Test Import program.
- 25 2. The Program, using Visual Basic 5 copies the original
- 26 file to a temporary file.
- 27 3. The program then assigns the database fields required
- 28 by the system to the temporary database file. These
- 29 fields are Cable Number 1 Connector 1 Name, Connector
- 1 Terminal, Connector 2 Name, Connector 2 Terminal.
- 31 4. The program counts the number of pins assigned to each
- 32 Connector, arranges them in ascending order and
- assigns them to system pin numbers, so they can be
- 34 accessed by the system controller card.

- 1 5. The program then assigns to the connectors the
- 2 appropriate system connector modules, so for example
- 3 If the connector has 50 pins, there will be 2 modules
- 4 assigned.
- 5 6. The program reads from the database the records which
- 6 shows Cable Number, Connector 1 Name, Connector 1
- 7 Terminal, Connector 2 Name, Connector 2 Terminal and
- 8 based on that information which shows which system pin
- 9 number connects to another system pin number, it
- 10 creates a system equivalent "learn" file which is
- 11 structured in the same way as a regular (with no
- database input) cable under test file.
- 13 7. At this point the system is capable of running a
- 14 regular test on the harness under test.
- 15 8. The results of these tests are written back into the
- 16 records of the database in new added fields which now
- 17 represent the "actual" Connector 2 Name, and the
- 18 "actual" Connector 2 Terminal. In other words, new
- fields to the database were added only to Connector 2
- Name, and Connector 2 Terminal because they represent
- 21 a potential difference to where Connector 1 could
- actually be connected to.
- 23 9. The program compares the "actual" results to the
- 24 expected results (stored in the old Connector 2 Name,
- 25 and Connector 2 Terminal). If the results are the same
- 26 then the wire harness is validated. If they are not
- 27 the same, the program translates the fields back to
- 28 the original database format and field names, and
- 29 provides a new corrected database file for the wire
- 30 harness. The user gets a corrected database and still
- 31 retains the information of the other fields not needed
- 32 by the system (such as wire color, wire gauge etc.).
- In addition, 2 fields which show differences are
- 34 added.

_
•
Ċ
-

23

29

1

#### HARDWARE DESCRIPTION

3 The hardware of the system of the present invention

4 consists of two primary components: the Controller Card

5 which resides inside the IBM PC type computer and the

Multiplexer/Driver cards which reside inside the system

7 connector unit and which are controlled by the Controller

8 Card. Standard PC architecture is required for

9 integration and operation of all of the features of the

10 system of the present invention.

# 11 The Controller Card:

12 The Controller card resides inside the IBM PC type

13 computer, connected to the computer bus. The system

14 circuitry is able to select any 2 points of the

15 multiplexer/driver card. Since the wire harness under

16 test is connected directly to the multiplexer/driver

17 card, the controller can select any 2 wires of the

18 harness under test. The process is as following;

19 1. The controller sends a command to the

20 multiplexer/driver card to select 2 wires.

21 2. The controller gets a voltage level from the

22 multiplexer/driver card which corresponds to the

status of the 2 wires (short or open).

24 3 The system measures the value of item 2, compares it

25 to a set reference and determines the status

26 (short/open).

27 4. The system stores the status result of the selected 2

wires and proceeds with the next set of wires.

### HARDWARE COMPONENTS

Reference is made to Fig. 3 for a description of the

31 electronic components of part of the system of the

32 present invention.

33 P1 is the IBM ISA bus connector.

1 U4 provides signals which make selections at the

- 2 multiplexer/driver card.
- 3 UI, U2, U5, U6, U7, and U33 are buffers and registers for
- 4 bus and selection signals.
- 5 U31 and U36 are voltage regulators which provide a
- 6 reference voltage to the DAC U30.
- 7 U35 is a constant current source which is applied to the
- 8 multiplexers at the multiplexer/driver card. This is the
- 9 actual current source which is applied to the wires under
- 10 test.
- 11 U34 and U35 are differential amplifiers which amplify the
- 12 signal from the multiplexer/driver card.
- 13 U10 compares the level of the amplified signal from the
- 14 multiplexer/driver card to a reference voltage from DAC
- 15 U30 (Digital to Analog Converter), and makes decision if
- 16 the wires under test are shorted or opened. The output of
- 17 the comparator U10 is connected to the computer bus to
- 18 register the result to a computer file.
- 19 The Multiplexer/Driver Card
- The multiplexer/driver card connects to the wire
- 21 harness under test via multiple connector modules located
- 22 on top panel of the system connector unit. Each
- 23 connector can connect up to 32 wires. If the harness
- 24 under test requires more than 32 contacts per connector,
- 25 multiple connector modules may be combined and form a
- 26 larger connector. As an example, two combined connector
- 27 modules will have 64 pins. The software recognizes the
- 28 combined connector modules and assigns them the right
- 29 number of pins.
- The hardware of the multiplexer/driver card consist
- 31 of control logic components U17, U18, U38 and U39 which
- 32 steer the signals from the Controller Card to the
- 33 selected pair of wires under test. U1 U16, U21 U36
- 34 are multiplexer IC's, which are connected to the wire

TOOTES - TELECT

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31 32

33

34

1 harness under test. These IC's are arranged in 2 groups

2 as shown in Figs. 3 and 4: Rail A and Rail B. Two

3 multiplexer/driver cards are needed for every 128 points.

4 One card provides the stimulus for the selected 2 wires

5 (between Rail A and Rail B). The other card senses the

signals (between Rail A And Rail B) and sends them to the

7 controller card for evaluation.

### INSULATION TESTING

The system of the present invention, using the same basic hardware components, further provides a means for determining the integrity of the wiring harness insulation by detecting leakage in aircraft wiring, caused by faulty insulation and testing the strength of the wiring insulation.

Faulty insulation, caused by aging or chafing, may cause discharge of sparks and arcing conductor to conductor or conductor to frame. cable harness is tested, the system of the present invention measures the leakage current between each conductor to aircraft structure and to the other conductors by measuring a leakage current and providing results in magnitude of gigaOhms.

Typically the test is conducted in two steps:

First, a low voltage source of 10VDC is used to determine low voltage leakage between any wire to aircraft structure and to the rest of the wires. A threshold can be set such that wires with less than, for example, 2 or 5 gigaOhms will be reported.

The second step, allows testing the wires not reported as failing in the first step, to be tested under higher voltage, typically 500VDC (the voltage is programmable, and so is the duration). Also in this step a threshold can be set such that wires with less than, for example, 20 gigaOhms will be reported. The higher voltage, detects weak insulation

WO 01/82209 PCT/US01/12818

1 and can measure higher values of leakage/ resistance than the 2 previous step.

In the disclosed configuration, the system of the present invention may connect to 512 points, in which only the first 64 can test for high voltage. In addition, there are safety features alerting the user to the higher voltage while testing in high voltage mode. In addition to software controlled switching, a manual cut off switch is also installed in the system. The design of the system, however, allows for increasing the number of points if required.

In addition the system of the present invention incorporates a resistivity measurement capability to supplement the wire validation process. In a resistivity testing mode the user can select a resistance value. Then all wires with resistance above the specified value will register as faulty during test.

Alternately, the user can select two limits for resistance values: an upper limit and a lower limit. Wires with resistance outside these limits will register as faulty during test.

Further selectable components of the hardware of the present invention, components and functions which are known in the art, provide the following additional features:

Low Voltage Leakage: The user can select a high resistance value, for example 1000 Mega Ohm. The tester uses low voltage of about 10VDC to make the measurements. All wires with resistance above the specified value will

29 register as faulty during test.

30 High Voltage Leakage. The

High Voltage Leakage: The user can select a high resistance value, example 5000 Mega Ohm. The tester uses high voltage, programmable by user, for example 1 - 500 VDC to make the measurements. All wires with resistance above the specified value will register as faulty during

1 test. The user can also specify the duration of the

- 2 present of the high voltage from 1 to 60 seconds. In
- 3 addition, the hardware and the software ensure that a
- 4 high voltage leakage test can be performed only on wires
- 5 which did not fail the low voltage leakage test. The
- 6 presence of the high voltage is controlled by software
- 7 and hardware. At any time the user can cut off the
- 8 voltage using a switch located on the front panel of the
- 9 tester.
- 10 Using a high voltage is also necessary to check the 11 strength of the insulation. Weak insulation will show a
- 12 lower than normal resistance between the faulty wires.
- 13 Create Drawings Function: This function commands the
- 14 tester function of the present invention to capture and
- 15 store all the interconnections of all wires connected to
- 16 the system. The system then translates the captured data
- 17 to drawings. The software can generate files in AutoCad®
- 18 format or in different formats for CAD/CAM, etc. The
- 19 software assigns one or more pages to the drawings, based
- 20 on the number of connectors and number of wires involved.
- 21 If several pages are involved, and a wire connects to a
- 22 connector on a different page, the software adds a label
- 23 to the wire with the target page number.
- 24 Edit functions: The user can edit the name of each
- 25 wire, and can select individual wires to be tested, by
- 26 checking the check boxes next to each wire.
- 27 The software system for generating wiring diagrams
- 28 is an object oriented system and addresses the following
- 29 objects:
- 30 Schematic (Object)
- 31 Comprises a collection of pages
- 32 Comprises a collection of connections
- 33 Comprises a collection of connectors

<u></u>
-
ό.
Ü
Q1
श्रिक्षेत्रक स्थान
fu
, ===
ji 💝
fi
fi jadi
n 134 154

32

connectors.

1	Can assign connectors to pages
2	Can generate files in different formats (for
3	CAD/CAM, etc.)
4	Page (Object)
5	Comprises one or more connectors.
6	Can respond to a connector request to draw itself by
7	drawing the connector
8	Can respond to a connector request to draw a
9	connection (to another connector or to the edge of
10	the page (with a label))
11	Connector (Object)
12	Knows its size (number of pins), its page, and its
13	page location
14	Can ask his page to draw itself
15	Can ask his page to draw a connection from one of
16	his pins to another connector
17	Connection (Object)
18	Knows its two connectors and pin numbers
19	Can ask its connectors for their pages
20	Can ask one of its connector to draw the entire
21	connection (if both connectors are on the same
22	page)
23	Can ask each of its connectors to draw part of the
24	connection (if both connectors are not on the same
25	page)
26	The process carried out by the system in order to
27	create a usable wiring diagram, in conjunction with
28	standard CAD/CAM software packages, is as follows:
29	1. The Schematic object analyzes the number and size of
30	its connectors collection creates the appropriate

number of pages, and assigns each page one or more

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

1

2

3

4

5

WO 01/82209 PCT/US01/12818

2. The Schematic object iterates through it connectors collection and tells each connector to draw itself, and in turn, each connector tells its page to draw the connector.

3. The Schematic object iterates through its connections collection and tells each connection to draw itself. Each connection in turns check if both ends of the connection are on the same page or not. If the page is the same, the connection asks one of the connectors to draw the connections. page to draw connector in turn, asks its the Ιf connection. the page is not the same, connection asks each of the connectors to draw its portion of the connection. The connector in turn, asks its page to draw its part of the connection.

The hardware components of the present invention are shown in schematic block diagram form in Fig. 6. This view clarifies the arrangement where the controller card, incorporated within the system computer, is linked to and controls the operation of the multiplexer/driver cards which are positioned within the system connector unit. The multiplexer/driver cards are in turn connected to the connector modules on the connector unit in the manner described above. The wiring harnesses under test are then connected to the connector modules as shown.

Although the invention has been described with reference to specific embodiments, this description is not meant to be construed in a limited sense. Various modifications of the disclosed embodiments, as well as alternative embodiments of the inventions will become apparent to persons skilled in the art upon the reference to the description of the invention. It is, therefore, contemplated that the appended claims will cover such

1 modifications that fall within the scope of the

2 invention.

3

4

5